

## **Enhancing Venous Outflow in Lower Limb with Intermittent Pneumatic Compression. A Comparative Haemodynamic Analysis on the Effect of Foot vs. Calf vs. Foot and Calf Compression**

K.T. Delis, G. Slimani, H. M. Hafez and A. N. Nicolaides

*Irvine Laboratory for Cardiovascular investigation and Research, Academic Vascular Unit, Imperial College School of Medicine, St Mary's Hospital, Paddington, London, U.K..*

Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 19, 250-260 (2000)

Complete article available online at <http://www.idealibrary.com>

**Objectives:** intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC), an established method of deep-vein thrombosis prophylaxis, is also an effective means of leg inflow enhancement, improving the walking capacity and ankle pressure of claudicants, long-term. This study, using duplex ultrasonography, compares the haemodynamic effect of IPC of the (a) foot (at 120mmHg [ $IPC_{foot/120mmHg}$ ], and 180mmHg [ $IPC_{foot/180mmHg}$ ]), (b) calf ( $IPC_{calf}$ , 120mmHg) and (c) both simultaneously ( $IPC_{foot+calf}$ , 120mmHg), on the venous outflow of 20 legs of normals and 25 legs of claudicants.

**Results:** the peak and mean velocities, volume flow and pulsatility index in the superficial femoral and popliteal veins of both groups increased significantly with all IPC modes ( $p < 0.001$ ).  $IPC_{foot+calf}$  produced the highest enhancement followed by  $IPC_{calf}$  ( $p < 0.01$ ), which was more effective ( $p < 0.001$ ) than either  $IPC_{foot/180 mmHg}$  or  $IPC_{foot/120 mmHg}$ . The venous volume expelled with  $IPC_{calf}$  and  $IPC_{foot+calf}$  was 2-2.5 and 3-3.5 times that with  $IPC_{foot/180mmHg}$  respectively. Velocity enhancement with IPC was similar between groups and the superficial femoral and popliteal veins.  $IPC_{foot/180 mmHg}$  produced higher ( $p < 0.01$ ) flow velocities than  $IPC_{foot/120mmHg}$  in both groups and veins examined; however, differences were limited.

**Conclusions:** all IPC modes proved effective,  $IPC_{foot+calf}$  generating the highest venous outflow enhancement. Higher venous volumes expelled with  $IPC_{foot+calf}$  explain its reported superiority on leg inflow over the other modes. Increase of applied pressure from 120 to 180mmHg with  $IPC_{foot}$  offered only a small outflow improvement. Venous haemodynamics at rest and with IPC in claudicants do not differ significantly from those in healthy subjects.